



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/481,640	01/12/2000	DAVID ELLIOTT WHITTEN	HEM-98/644(H)	5923

7590

10/18/2002

LERNER AND GREENBERG PA
POST OFFICE BOX 2480
HOLLYWOOD, FL 330222480

EXAMINER

DEXTER, CLARK F

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3724

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2002

[Handwritten signature] *[Handwritten number 13]*

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/481,640

Applicant(s)
Whitten et al.

Examiner
Clark F. Dexter

Art Unit
3724



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr. Lawrence Greenberg

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Clark Dexter

(4) _____

Date of Interview Oct 18, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: New claims 10 and 11

Identification of prior art discussed:
None

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr. Greenberg called to inquire about the allowability of new claims 10 and 11. Applicant's position is that these claims are merely allowable claims 2 and 3 written in independent form. Upon further review, Mr. Dexter agreed with applicant regarding claim 10. However, claim 11 contains inconsistencies that raised new issues. Mr. Greenberg stated that these inconsistencies were inadvertent and that the claim was intended to include the language of allowable claim 3; for example, in line 7, "a linear jack and an eccentric jack" should read --one of a linear jack and an eccentric jack--. Mr. Dexter agreed to make such changes by Examiner's amendment and stated that the case appears to be in condition for allowance, and that no further response by applicant is required.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

CLARK F. DEXTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3724

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.